

## THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL, TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 1896

### Governor Altgeld. Governor Stone, who is now the favorite of the Altgeld crowd, boldly declared in his speeches in Kentucky that the free coinage of silver involved a partial repudiation of existing debts. Mr. Howell, editor of the Atlanta Constitution, is the favorite of the Altgeld leaders for the vice presidency.

### THE ROBBERY OF THE POOR.

The remark of Governor Matthews to the effect that the Republicans may have gone further than they meant to when they declared that all the obligations of the United States shall be paid in gold or its equivalent, causes one to suspect that he believes there are some of its obligations which can be paid in a money not as good as gold because they contain the word "coin."

If the standard which the prevailed since 1834, since which time the country has been on a specie basis, shall be retained, then coin means gold, or money which is its equivalent. Evidently, Governor Matthews has some sort of vague idea that there will be another basis than gold, and that coin will mean silver.

His utterances have led intelligent men to conclude that he has not read enough of the history of his country and of money to know that when a cheap-cost money becomes a legal tender and is not limited in amount, or is very large in volume, it will drive out the money of greater intrinsic value. Silver drove gold out of the country under the basis of 15 to 1.

Such Democrats as Andrew Jackson, who was President at the time, and Thomas H. Benton exerted their influence to have the ratio changed so that gold would return. They succeeded in 1834 and changed the ratio to 1 to 1 because it was believed that the price of sixteen ounces of silver and one ounce of gold were the same in the market. They made a mistake by undervaluing silver. The result was that silver left the country and gold became its money.

The standard silver dollar was worth from 2 to 5 cents more than the gold, but, with this slight difference and the greater cost of transportation, silver left.

Now Governor Matthews, ignorant of the action of Jackson and all his Democratic followers, claims that gold and silver will circulate side by side, coined on a legal ratio of 15 to 1. When, however, he intimates that coin may be cheaper than gold, he practically admits that the country will come to a silver basis, and for that reason, a dollar made of 50 cents' worth of silver will, in the open market, drive out a dollar worth 100 cents.

The Governor knows or should know that the bonds of Indiana which have been sold in the East were purchased with money on the gold basis, and taken at from 3 to 4 per cent. because the purchasers believed that the interest and the principal would be paid in gold or its equivalent. Had they not so believed they would not have bought them. These bonds permit the payment of interest and principal in "lawful money." If the country should pass to a silver basis, as it will under the heresy which Governor Matthews is advocating with the zeal of a re-converted backslider, these bonds could be paid in silver dollars worth in the world's market from 25 to 50 per cent. less than the gold dollar. The difference now is 36 per cent. Thus will the holders of these bonds be cheated of a quarter, a third, or even more of the money which they invested.

"That's all right," is the chorus of Democrats who, "moved by the spirit of Senator Tillman," took it to the bloated foreign bondholders. Not so fast; the bulk of the Indiana bonds now outstanding are held by New York and other Savings Banks of Brooklyn, and the Dime Savings Bank of New York. The owners are not "bloated bondholders," but the poor people of large cities—the thousands for whom "dime" savings banks are instituted because they cannot deposit dollars. These are the people, the worthy poor, whom the policy now advocated by Claude Matthews would rob of a third or more of the purchase power of their scanty savings.

### PAUPER LABOR IN INDIANAPOLIS.

A few days ago it was stated that in a five-room house in this city fifty-six Italians lived. It was not necessary to add, as did the newspaper report, that these men lived in the most wretched manner imaginable. No one can have anything but the kindest feeling for such wretched men.

The ordinary poverty of an American city is better living than they and their ancestors have known. By some means, however, they have got to this country, and from New York they have come here, have been brought here by contractors to take the places of men living here, who have been paid as low or even lower wages than should be offered. Doubtless these ignorant Italians are glad to labor for less than a dollar a day. Thousands of them have come to this country this far this season, and thousands more will come. If they secure employment it will be at the hands of men who have taken contracts lower than they should and who now employ these men because they can bid for much less wages than those who have always lived in this country. The bringing of such labor to this city under such circumstances is no less an outrage because there is no law for the punishment of such a practice.

There is no doubt that the law for the common laborer of the country for the cheaper labor of the foreigner. By this process either the native or the foreigner will become the object of public charity at the end of the season, because there is no employment for both. In fact, there is scarcely employment for those who are residents of the city.

It is not clearly understood who brought these unfortunate Italians here. One thing, however, is certain: they did not come in such a body without direction by some person representing some of the corporations which have franchises from the city or some of their contractors. To ascertain the responsibility for the presence of these people may not lead to any positive good; nevertheless, it would be well to know. For that reason the local Board of Health or the police authorities should make investigation. If any local corporation or its contractors are responsible for the presence of these men the public should know it, to the end that the next Legislature may be asked to attach a penalty to such offenses.

In this connection it should be added that the Republican House passed a bill, which would before Congress adjourned, which would make it impossible for such men as these fifty-six Italians to come into the country, but the Demo-Populist Senate had no time to devote to a measure which would arrest the process of displacing home labor to make room for foreigners, who, by living meagerly, can labor for less wages. That element in the Senate which includes both of Indiana's Senators insisted that the Senate should waste no time over anti-bond

## THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL, TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 1896

### Governor Altgeld. Governor Stone, who is now the favorite of the Altgeld crowd, boldly declared in his speeches in Kentucky that the free coinage of silver involved a partial repudiation of existing debts. Mr. Howell, editor of the Atlanta Constitution, is the favorite of the Altgeld leaders for the vice presidency.

### THE ROBBERY OF THE POOR.

The remark of Governor Matthews to the effect that the Republicans may have gone further than they meant to when they declared that all the obligations of the United States shall be paid in gold or its equivalent, causes one to suspect that he believes there are some of its obligations which can be paid in a money not as good as gold because they contain the word "coin."

If the standard which the prevailed since 1834, since which time the country has been on a specie basis, shall be retained, then coin means gold, or money which is its equivalent. Evidently, Governor Matthews has some sort of vague idea that there will be another basis than gold, and that coin will mean silver.

His utterances have led intelligent men to conclude that he has not read enough of the history of his country and of money to know that when a cheap-cost money becomes a legal tender and is not limited in amount, or is very large in volume, it will drive out the money of greater intrinsic value. Silver drove gold out of the country under the basis of 15 to 1.

Such Democrats as Andrew Jackson, who was President at the time, and Thomas H. Benton exerted their influence to have the ratio changed so that gold would return. They succeeded in 1834 and changed the ratio to 1 to 1 because it was believed that the price of sixteen ounces of silver and one ounce of gold were the same in the market. They made a mistake by undervaluing silver. The result was that silver left the country and gold became its money.

The standard silver dollar was worth from 2 to 5 cents more than the gold, but, with this slight difference and the greater cost of transportation, silver left.

Now Governor Matthews, ignorant of the action of Jackson and all his Democratic followers, claims that gold and silver will circulate side by side, coined on a legal ratio of 15 to 1. When, however, he intimates that coin may be cheaper than gold, he practically admits that the country will come to a silver basis, and for that reason, a dollar made of 50 cents' worth of silver will, in the open market, drive out a dollar worth 100 cents.

The Governor knows or should know that the bonds of Indiana which have been sold in the East were purchased with money on the gold basis, and taken at from 3 to 4 per cent. because the purchasers believed that the interest and the principal would be paid in gold or its equivalent. Had they not so believed they would not have bought them. These bonds permit the payment of interest and principal in "lawful money." If the country should pass to a silver basis, as it will under the heresy which Governor Matthews is advocating with the zeal of a re-converted backslider, these bonds could be paid in silver dollars worth in the world's market from 25 to 50 per cent. less than the gold dollar. The difference now is 36 per cent. Thus will the holders of these bonds be cheated of a quarter, a third, or even more of the money which they invested.

"That's all right," is the chorus of Democrats who, "moved by the spirit of Senator Tillman," took it to the bloated foreign bondholders. Not so fast; the bulk of the Indiana bonds now outstanding are held by New York and other Savings Banks of Brooklyn, and the Dime Savings Bank of New York. The owners are not "bloated bondholders," but the poor people of large cities—the thousands for whom "dime" savings banks are instituted because they cannot deposit dollars. These are the people, the worthy poor, whom the policy now advocated by Claude Matthews would rob of a third or more of the purchase power of their scanty savings.

### PAUPER LABOR IN INDIANAPOLIS.

A few days ago it was stated that in a five-room house in this city fifty-six Italians lived. It was not necessary to add, as did the newspaper report, that these men lived in the most wretched manner imaginable. No one can have anything but the kindest feeling for such wretched men.

The ordinary poverty of an American city is better living than they and their ancestors have known. By some means, however, they have got to this country, and from New York they have come here, have been brought here by contractors to take the places of men living here, who have been paid as low or even lower wages than should be offered. Doubtless these ignorant Italians are glad to labor for less than a dollar a day. Thousands of them have come to this country this far this season, and thousands more will come. If they secure employment it will be at the hands of men who have taken contracts lower than they should and who now employ these men because they can bid for much less wages than those who have always lived in this country. The bringing of such labor to this city under such circumstances is no less an outrage because there is no law for the punishment of such a practice.

There is no doubt that the law for the common laborer of the country for the cheaper labor of the foreigner. By this process either the native or the foreigner will become the object of public charity at the end of the season, because there is no employment for both. In fact, there is scarcely employment for those who are residents of the city.

It is not clearly understood who brought these unfortunate Italians here. One thing, however, is certain: they did not come in such a body without direction by some person representing some of the corporations which have franchises from the city or some of their contractors. To ascertain the responsibility for the presence of these people may not lead to any positive good; nevertheless, it would be well to know. For that reason the local Board of Health or the police authorities should make investigation. If any local corporation or its contractors are responsible for the presence of these men the public should know it, to the end that the next Legislature may be asked to attach a penalty to such offenses.

In this connection it should be added that the Republican House passed a bill, which would before Congress adjourned, which would make it impossible for such men as these fifty-six Italians to come into the country, but the Demo-Populist Senate had no time to devote to a measure which would arrest the process of displacing home labor to make room for foreigners, who, by living meagerly, can labor for less wages. That element in the Senate which includes both of Indiana's Senators insisted that the Senate should waste no time over anti-bond

### THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL, TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 1896

### Governor Altgeld. Governor Stone, who is now the favorite of the Altgeld crowd, boldly declared in his speeches in Kentucky that the free coinage of silver involved a partial repudiation of existing debts. Mr. Howell, editor of the Atlanta Constitution, is the favorite of the Altgeld leaders for the vice presidency.

### THE ROBBERY OF THE POOR.

The remark of Governor Matthews to the effect that the Republicans may have gone further than they meant to when they declared that all the obligations of the United States shall be paid in gold or its equivalent, causes one to suspect that he believes there are some of its obligations which can be paid in a money not as good as gold because they contain the word "coin."

If the standard which the prevailed since 1834, since which time the country has been on a specie basis, shall be retained, then coin means gold, or money which is its equivalent. Evidently, Governor Matthews has some sort of vague idea that there will be another basis than gold, and that coin will mean silver.

His utterances have led intelligent men to conclude that he has not read enough of the history of his country and of money to know that when a cheap-cost money becomes a legal tender and is not limited in amount, or is very large in volume, it will drive out the money of greater intrinsic value. Silver drove gold out of the country under the basis of 15 to 1.

Such Democrats as Andrew Jackson, who was President at the time, and Thomas H. Benton exerted their influence to have the ratio changed so that gold would return. They succeeded in 1834 and changed the ratio to 1 to 1 because it was believed that the price of sixteen ounces of silver and one ounce of gold were the same in the market. They made a mistake by undervaluing silver. The result was that silver left the country and gold became its money.

The standard silver dollar was worth from 2 to 5 cents more than the gold, but, with this slight difference and the greater cost of transportation, silver left.

Now Governor Matthews, ignorant of the action of Jackson and all his Democratic followers, claims that gold and silver will circulate side by side, coined on a legal ratio of 15 to 1. When, however, he intimates that coin may be cheaper than gold, he practically admits that the country will come to a silver basis, and for that reason, a dollar made of 50 cents' worth of silver will, in the open market, drive out a dollar worth 100 cents.

The Governor knows or should know that the bonds of Indiana which have been sold in the East were purchased with money on the gold basis, and taken at from 3 to 4 per cent. because the purchasers believed that the interest and the principal would be paid in gold or its equivalent. Had they not so believed they would not have bought them. These bonds permit the payment of interest and principal in "lawful money." If the country should pass to a silver basis, as it will under the heresy which Governor Matthews is advocating with the zeal of a re-converted backslider, these bonds could be paid in silver dollars worth in the world's market from 25 to 50 per cent. less than the gold dollar. The difference now is 36 per cent. Thus will the holders of these bonds be cheated of a quarter, a third, or even more of the money which they invested.

"That's all right," is the chorus of Democrats who, "moved by the spirit of Senator Tillman," took it to the bloated foreign bondholders. Not so fast; the bulk of the Indiana bonds now outstanding are held by New York and other Savings Banks of Brooklyn, and the Dime Savings Bank of New York. The owners are not "bloated bondholders," but the poor people of large cities—the thousands for whom "dime" savings banks are instituted because they cannot deposit dollars. These are the people, the worthy poor, whom the policy now advocated by Claude Matthews would rob of a third or more of the purchase power of their scanty savings.

### PAUPER LABOR IN INDIANAPOLIS.

A few days ago it was stated that in a five-room house in this city fifty-six Italians lived. It was not necessary to add, as did the newspaper report, that these men lived in the most wretched manner imaginable. No one can have anything but the kindest feeling for such wretched men.

The ordinary poverty of an American city is better living than they and their ancestors have known. By some means, however, they have got to this country, and from New York they have come here, have been brought here by contractors to take the places of men living here, who have been paid as low or even lower wages than should be offered. Doubtless these ignorant Italians are glad to labor for less than a dollar a day. Thousands of them have come to this country this far this season, and thousands more will come. If they secure employment it will be at the hands of men who have taken contracts lower than they should and who now employ these men because they can bid for much less wages than those who have always lived in this country. The bringing of such labor to this city under such circumstances is no less an outrage because there is no law for the punishment of such a practice.

There is no doubt that the law for the common laborer of the country for the cheaper labor of the foreigner. By this process either the native or the foreigner will become the object of public charity at the end of the season, because there is no employment for both. In fact, there is scarcely employment for those who are residents of the city.

It is not clearly understood who brought these unfortunate Italians here. One thing, however, is certain: they did not come in such a body without direction by some person representing some of the corporations which have franchises from the city or some of their contractors. To ascertain the responsibility for the presence of these people may not lead to any positive good; nevertheless, it would be well to know. For that reason the local Board of Health or the police authorities should make investigation. If any local corporation or its contractors are responsible for the presence of these men the public should know it, to the end that the next Legislature may be asked to attach a penalty to such offenses.

In this connection it should be added that the Republican House passed a bill, which would before Congress adjourned, which would make it impossible for such men as these fifty-six Italians to come into the country, but the Demo-Populist Senate had no time to devote to a measure which would arrest the process of displacing home labor to make room for foreigners, who, by living meagerly, can labor for less wages. That element in the Senate which includes both of Indiana's Senators insisted that the Senate should waste no time over anti-bond

### THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL, TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 1896

### Governor Altgeld. Governor Stone, who is now the favorite of the Altgeld crowd, boldly declared in his speeches in Kentucky that the free coinage of silver involved a partial repudiation of existing debts. Mr. Howell, editor of the Atlanta Constitution, is the favorite of the Altgeld leaders for the vice presidency.

### THE ROBBERY OF THE POOR.

The remark of Governor Matthews to the effect that the Republicans may have gone further than they meant to when they declared that all the obligations of the United States shall be paid in gold or its equivalent, causes one to suspect that he believes there are some of its obligations which can be paid in a money not as good as gold because they contain the word "coin."

If the standard which the prevailed since 1834, since which time the country has been on a specie basis, shall be retained, then coin means gold, or money which is its equivalent. Evidently, Governor Matthews has some sort of vague idea that there will be another basis than gold, and that coin will mean silver.

His utterances have led intelligent men to conclude that he has not read enough of the history of his country and of money to know that when a cheap-cost money becomes a legal tender and is not limited in amount, or is very large in volume, it will drive out the money of greater intrinsic value. Silver drove gold out of the country under the basis of 15 to 1.

Such Democrats as Andrew Jackson, who was President at the time, and Thomas H. Benton exerted their influence to have the ratio changed so that gold would return. They succeeded in 1834 and changed the ratio to 1 to 1 because it was believed that the price of sixteen ounces of silver and one ounce of gold were the same in the market. They made a mistake by undervaluing silver. The result was that silver left the country and gold became its money.

The standard silver dollar was worth from 2 to 5 cents more than the gold, but, with this slight difference and the greater cost of transportation, silver left.

Now Governor Matthews, ignorant of the action of Jackson and all his Democratic followers, claims that gold and silver will circulate side by side, coined on a legal ratio of 15 to 1. When, however, he intimates that coin may be cheaper than gold, he practically admits that the country will come to a silver basis, and for that reason, a dollar made of 50 cents' worth of silver will, in the open market, drive out a dollar worth 100 cents.

The Governor knows or should know that the bonds of Indiana which have been sold in the East were purchased with money on the gold basis, and taken at from 3 to 4 per cent. because the purchasers believed that the interest and the principal would be paid in gold or its equivalent. Had they not so believed they would not have bought them. These bonds permit the payment of interest and principal in "lawful money." If the country should pass to a silver basis, as it will under the heresy which Governor Matthews is advocating with the zeal of a re-converted backslider, these bonds could be paid in silver dollars worth in the world's market from 25 to 50 per cent. less than the gold dollar. The difference now is 36 per cent. Thus will the holders of these bonds be cheated of a quarter, a third, or even more of the money which they invested.

"That's all right," is the chorus of Democrats who, "moved by the spirit of Senator Tillman," took it to the bloated foreign bondholders. Not so fast; the bulk of the Indiana bonds now outstanding are held by New York and other Savings Banks of Brooklyn, and the Dime Savings Bank of New York. The owners are not "bloated bondholders," but the poor people of large cities—the thousands for whom "dime" savings banks are instituted because they cannot deposit dollars. These are the people, the worthy poor, whom the policy now advocated by Claude Matthews would rob of a third or more of the purchase power of their scanty savings.

### PAUPER LABOR IN INDIANAPOLIS.

A few days ago it was stated that in a five-room house in this city fifty-six Italians lived. It was not necessary to add, as did the newspaper report, that these men lived in the most wretched manner imaginable. No one can have anything but the kindest feeling for such wretched men.

The ordinary poverty of an American city is better living than they and their ancestors have known. By some means, however, they have got to this country, and from New York they have come here, have been brought here by contractors to take the places of men living here, who have been paid as low or even lower wages than should be offered. Doubtless these ignorant Italians are glad to labor for less than a dollar a day. Thousands of them have come to this country this far this season, and thousands more will come. If they secure employment it will be at the hands of men who have taken contracts lower than they should and who now employ these men because they can bid for much less wages than those who have always lived in this country. The bringing of such labor to this city under such circumstances is no less an outrage because there is no law for the punishment of such a practice.

There is no doubt that the law for the common laborer of the country for the cheaper labor of the foreigner. By this process either the native or the foreigner will become the object of public charity at the end of the season, because there is no employment for both. In fact, there is scarcely employment for those who are residents of the city.

It is not clearly understood who brought these unfortunate Italians here. One thing, however, is certain: they did not come in such a body without direction by some person representing some of the corporations which have franchises from the city or some of their contractors. To ascertain the responsibility for the presence of these people may not lead to any positive good; nevertheless, it would be well to know. For that reason the local Board of Health or the police authorities should make investigation. If any local corporation or its contractors are responsible for the presence of these men the public should know it, to the end that the next Legislature may be asked to attach a penalty to such offenses.

In this connection it should be added that the Republican House passed a bill, which would before Congress adjourned, which would make it impossible for such men as these fifty-six Italians to come into the country, but the Demo-Populist Senate had no time to devote to a measure which would arrest the process of displacing home labor to make room for foreigners, who, by living meagerly, can labor for less wages. That element in the Senate which includes both of Indiana's Senators insisted that the Senate should waste no time over anti-bond

### THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL, TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 1896

### Governor Altgeld. Governor Stone, who is now the favorite of the Altgeld crowd, boldly declared in his speeches in Kentucky that the free coinage of silver involved a partial repudiation of existing debts. Mr. Howell, editor of the Atlanta Constitution, is the favorite of the Altgeld leaders for the vice presidency.

### THE ROBBERY OF THE POOR.

The remark of Governor Matthews to the effect that the Republicans may have gone further than they meant to when they declared that all the obligations of the United States shall be paid in gold or its equivalent, causes one to suspect that he believes there are some of its obligations which can be paid in a money not as good as gold because they contain the word "coin."

If the standard which the prevailed since 1834, since which time the country has been on a specie basis, shall be retained, then coin means gold, or money which is its equivalent. Evidently, Governor Matthews has some sort of vague idea that there will be another basis than gold, and that coin will mean silver.

His utterances have led intelligent men to conclude that he has not read enough of the history of his country and of money to know that when a cheap-cost money becomes a legal tender and is not limited in amount, or is very large in volume, it will drive out the money of greater intrinsic value. Silver drove gold out of the country under the basis of 15 to 1.

Such Democrats as Andrew Jackson, who was President at the time, and Thomas H. Benton exerted their influence to have the ratio changed so that gold would return. They succeeded in 1834 and changed the ratio to 1 to 1 because it was believed that the price of sixteen ounces of silver and one ounce of gold were the same in the market. They made a mistake by undervaluing silver. The result was that silver left the country and gold became its money.

The standard silver dollar was worth from 2 to 5 cents more than the gold, but, with this slight difference and the greater cost of transportation, silver left.

Now Governor Matthews, ignorant of the action of Jackson and all his Democratic followers, claims that gold and silver will circulate side by side, coined on a legal ratio of 15 to 1. When, however, he intimates that coin may be cheaper than gold, he practically admits that the country will come to a silver basis, and for that reason, a dollar made of 50 cents' worth of silver will, in the open market, drive out a dollar worth 100 cents.

The Governor knows or should know that the bonds of Indiana which have been sold in the East were purchased with money on the gold basis, and taken at from 3 to 4 per cent. because the purchasers believed that the interest and the principal would be paid in gold or its equivalent. Had they not so believed they would not have bought them. These bonds permit the payment of interest and principal in "lawful money." If the country should pass to a silver basis, as it will under the heresy which Governor Matthews is advocating with the zeal of a re-converted backslider, these bonds could be paid in silver dollars worth in the world's market from 25 to 50 per cent. less than the gold dollar. The difference now is 36 per cent. Thus will the holders of these bonds be cheated of a quarter, a third, or even more of the money which they invested.

"That's all right," is the chorus of Democrats who, "moved by the spirit of Senator Tillman," took it to the bloated foreign bondholders. Not so fast; the bulk of the Indiana bonds now outstanding are held by New York and other Savings Banks of Brooklyn, and the Dime Savings Bank of New York. The owners are not "bloated bondholders," but the poor people of large cities—the thousands for whom "dime" savings banks are instituted because they cannot deposit dollars. These are the people, the worthy poor, whom the policy now advocated by Claude Matthews would rob of a third or more of the purchase power of their scanty savings.

### PAUPER LABOR IN INDIANAPOLIS.

A few days ago it was stated that in a five-room house in this city fifty-six Italians lived. It was not necessary to add, as did the newspaper report, that these men lived in the most wretched manner imaginable. No one can have anything but the kindest feeling for such wretched men.

The ordinary poverty of an American city is better living than they and their ancestors have known. By some means, however, they have got to this country, and from New York they have come here, have been brought here by contractors to take the places of men living here, who have been paid as low or even lower wages than should be offered. Doubtless these ignorant Italians are glad to labor for less than a dollar a day. Thousands of them have come to this country this far this season, and thousands more will come. If they secure employment it will be at the hands of men who have taken contracts lower than they should and who now employ these men because they can bid for much less wages than those who have always lived in this country. The bringing of such labor to this city under such circumstances is no less an outrage because there is no law for the punishment of such a practice.